

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/668,558 | 09/22/2003 | James Stasiak | 100201346-5 | 4709 | |
| 7590 11/03/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration | | | WEISS, HOWARD | | |
| P. O. Box 2724 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 | | | 2814 | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | W. | |
|---|---|--|-----------|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Ĭ | |
| 057 | 10/668,558 | STASIAK ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Howard Weiss | 2814 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addre | ess | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | nerits is | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-34,57 and 58 s/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-9,14,22-31 and 57 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,10-13,15-21,32-34 and 58 s/are r 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | ejected. r election requirement. r. are: a) accepted or b) objection of the objection of the objection of the objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection. | ted to by the Examine 37 CFR 1.85(a). | 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0903(2). | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | 52) | |

Art Unit: 2814

Attorney's Docket Number: 1000201346-5

Filing Date: 9/22/03

Continuing Data: Division of 10/256,984

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: none

Applicant(s): Stasiak et al. (Wu, Hakleman)

Examiner: Howard Weiss

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group IV specie, Claims 1 to 6, 10 to 13, 15 to 21, 32 to 34 and 58, in the response filed 8/29/05 is acknowledged. Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (M.P.E.P. § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 7 to 9, 14, 22 to 31 and 57 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant is requested to cancel the non-elected claims as part of a complete response to this office action. Cancellation of the non-elected claims would not preclude the later filing of a divisional application on the non-elected invention (please see 35 USC 120 and 121).

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: ---now U.S. Patent No. 6,762,094--- should be inserted after "09/27/2002" on Page 1 Line 1 in the Specification as amended. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Art Unit: 2814

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Initially, and with respect to Claims 5, 32 and 33, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made. See *In re Thorpe et al.*, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) and the related case law cited therein which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. As stated in Thorpe,

Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. In re Brown, 459 F.2d 531, 535, 173 USPQ 685, 688 (CCPA 1972); In re Pilkington, 411 F.2d 1345, 1348, 162 USPQ 145, 147 (CCPA 1969); Buono v. Yankee Maid Dress Corp., 77 F.2d 274, 279, 26 USPQ 57, 61 (2d. Cir. 1935).

Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

 Claims 5, 6, 10 to 13, 15 to 21, 32 to 34 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Lieber et al. (U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0089899 A1).

Lieber et al. show all aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figures 30 to 39) including:

- > a silicon semiconductor wafer and an insulating layer disposed over said wafer (e.g. Figures 34 and 39A)
- > a plurality of first doped polysilicon structures (i.e. base or first semiconductor layer) consisting of parallel conductive lines with a lateral dimension less than about 75 nanometers (Paragraph [00013])
- ➤ a plurality of second doped polysilicon structures (i.e. base or second semiconductor layer) consisting of parallel conductive lines with a lateral dimension less than about 75 nanometers deposited upon said first conductive lines at a 90 degree angle to said first lines
- > a first junction formed between said first and second lines of dimensions less than 75 nanometers
- > a second set of wires and junctions form on said substrate
- > said structures forming diodes or bipolar junction transistors (Paragraph [0200])

Art Unit: 2814

As to the grounds of rejection under section 103(a), how the lines are formed, either epitaxial or some other means, pertains to intermediate process steps which do not affect the final device structure. See MPEP § 2113 which discusses the handling of "product by process" claims and recommends the alternative (§ 102 / § 103) grounds of rejection.

10. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lieber et al. and Kitamura (JP 59-106147).

Lieber et al. show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 9) except for the first semiconductor structure having planar top and side surfaces. Kitamura teach (e.g. Figure 1) to have a fist first semiconductor structure **11** having planar top and side surfaces to obtain a high integration density (see Purpose). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have a fist first semiconductor structure having planar top and side surfaces as taught by Kitamura in the device of Lieber et al. to obtain a high integration density.

11. Claims 2 to 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lieber et al. and Kitamura, as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Taussig et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,552,409).

Lieber et al. and Kitamura show most aspects of the instant invention (Paragraph 10) except for the second structure with the second junction formed on the first structure. Taussig et al. teach (e.g. Figures 23 to 25) to put a second structure **804** on a fist structure **802** to increase the storage capacity (Column 23 Lines 20 to 40). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to put a second structure on a fist structure as taught by Taussig et al. in the device of Lieber et al. and Kitamura to increase the storage capacity.

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

Page 6

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. VanBuskirk et al. (U. S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0245522) show a device similar to the instant invention.

- 13. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at (571) 272-1720 and between the hours of 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (571) 272-1705.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- 16. Paper copies of cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will cease to be mailed to applicants with Office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of foreign patents and non-patent literature will continue to be included with office

Art Unit: 2814

actions. These <u>cited</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, <u>all</u> U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (<u>www.uspto.gov</u>), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. patent or patent application publications will not be granted.

17. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

| Field of Search | Date | |
|---|----------|--|
| U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 257/110, 910 | 10/27/05 | |
| Other Documentation: none | | |
| Electronic Database(s): EAST, IEL, JPL | 10/27/05 | |

HW/hw 22 July 2005 Howard Weiss Primary Examiner Art Unit 2814